

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(206)

FAO-M-140-2015 with CMM-52-2018

Date of Decision: August 18, 2018.

Pawan Kumar

.... Appellant

Versus

Sunita

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ithlesh, Advocate, for
Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate, for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

The appellant-husband had filed a divorce petition which was dismissed on 23.02.2015 by Additional District Judge, Rohtak. Aggrieved against the dismissal of his petition, the appellant-husband has preferred the present appeal. During pendency of the appeal, the respondent-wife is stated to have expired.

Counsel for the appellant-husband has submitted that he has got instructions to inform this Court that the respondent-wife has died.

In view of statement made by counsel for the appellant-husband, the appeal has rendered infructuous.

The appeal is dismissed as infructuous without prejudice to the legal right of the maintenance and inheritance of the minor child born out of the wedlock and with liberty to seek revival, in case the information supplied is wrong.

Application (CMM-52-2018) under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act is also dismissed as infructuous.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

August 18, 2018
harsha

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No