

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 848 of 2018
Date of Decision: 17.1.2018**

Laxmi

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Devender Punia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana, present in court,
accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is
being finally disposed of.

Concededly, the petitioner is working as Extension Lecturer in
Chemistry w.e.f. 3.10.2017 with Lala Desh Bandhu Government College,
Panipat (respondent No.3). Her expected date of delivery is 24.2.2018. She
has submitted an application dated 2.1.2018 (Annexure P-4) to respondent
No.3 for grant of maternity leave, but the same has failed to evoke any
response. So much so, she again represented to respondent No.3 vide an
Email dated 12.1.2018 (Annexure P-5). But to no avail.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance/representations of the petitioner shall be considered and decided by the respondents within two weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. Needless to assert that in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

17.1.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES / NO
YES / NO