

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8439 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 05, 2018

GURMEET KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to revise the pension under instructions dated 14.09.1998 (P-1) and 18.08.1998 (P-2) as per last pay drawn by the deceased husband of petitioner and to revise the pension as per these policy instructions and also to grant the payment of arrears with interest @18% on the delayed payments.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.5 to decide legal notice dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-7), within a stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, instant petition

is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rupnagar to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

APRIL 05, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*