

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8436 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.4.2018

Bohar Singh

...Petitioner.

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Inderpal Singh Issar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved by an order, dated 26.7.2012 (Annexure P-5), vide which he was discharged from the rolls of Chandigarh Home Guards, for his services were no longer required.

Ex facie, the impugned order was passed almost six years ago. Thus, the petition suffers from gross, inordinate and unexplainable delay. That being so, no ground is made out to interfere in exercise of extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The petition is accordingly dismissed.

23.4.2018
AK Sharma

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

YES / NO
YES / NO