

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 05.04.2018

CWP No.8426 of 2018

Atma Ram

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. A.K.Singh Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has been out of contractual service as a Canal Patwari from 2008 and the instant petition has been filed for a direction to re-engage the petitioner. Any subsequent policy would not give a right to the petitioner to seek legal recourse and obtain relief in a dead claim.

Consequently, the instant petition is dismissed.

At best, the petitioner can raise a dispute that he was not given notice for re-employment as a retrenched worker under Section 25-H of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

05.04.2018
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No