

**CWP No. 8415 of 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 05, 2018**

HARJEET KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mohri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant her family pension along-with arrears and interest on account of services rendered by her husband, who died in harness on 29.06.2011 that too, by counting his entire daily wage service rendered by him prior to his regularization as qualifying service for the purposes of pensionary/retiral benefits.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that husband of the petitioner died in harness on 29.06.2011 but till date nothing has been paid to her. Moreover, the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by a Division Bench judgment of this Court rendered in CWP No. 2371 of 2010,

titled as **Harbans Lal vs. State of Punjab** (P-5) decided on 31.08.2010 as well as judgments passed by this Court in CWP No. 11802 of 2008 titled as **Paramjit Kaur vs. State of Punjab & others** (P-6), decided on 11.05.2016 and CWP No. 16918 of 2015, titled as **Ranju vs. State of Punjab and others;** decided on 04.11.2016 (P-7). Petitioner moved a representations dated 14.11.2014 and 23.12.2017 (P-9) to respondents No.2 to 4 but till date no action has been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the aforesaid representations, within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in her representations dated 14.11.2014 and 23.12.2017 (P-9) and to decide the same in view of Service Rules and Regulations as well as instructions issued by the Government from time to time and also in view of the judgments referred in para 2 of this petition, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, she shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to her under law including to approach this Court.

APRIL 05, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>