

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 838 of 2018

Date of Decision: 17.01.2018

PAVITTAR SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....Petitioners

V/S

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE – CUM – APPELLATE TRIBUNAL,
JALANDHAR, DISTRICT JALANDHAR AND OTHERS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN.

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed by the son and daughter-in-law of respondents No. 3 and 4.

Respondents No. 3 and 4 had filed an application for seeking maintenance being old age persons. The said application was decided by the Sub Divisional Magistrate-cum-Tribunal, Phillaur, District Jalandhar vide order dated 02.06.2017 and awarded maintenance to the tune of ₹ 5,000/- each per month to both the parents totalling ₹10,000/- per month.

The petitioners challenged the said order by way of an appeal in which the appellate authority has reduced the maintenance from ₹10,000/- per month to ₹5,000/- per month. Still the petitioners have filed this writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the respondent Nos. 3 and 4 are not eligible to be granted maintenance because according to him, the parents have the landed property.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the considered opinion that there is no merit in this petition as it is the pious duty of the petitioners to look after their parents, who are asking nothing but ₹5,000/- per month as maintenance.

With these observations, the present petition is hereby dismissed, though without any order as to costs.

January 17, 2018

Ess Kay

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes	/	No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes	/	No