

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.8365 of 2018

Date of Decision: 04.04.2018

Tilak Raj

....Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab
and others

...Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved by order dated 06.02.2018 (Annexure P-6), whereby, the interim order passed in favour of the petitioner has been vacated after hearing the application for vacation of stay moved by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order was passed at the back of the petitioner and nothing has been said on merit. An application for quashing of order dated 06.02.2018 was moved but without hearing the said application, the main case was ordered to be heard on 01.05.2018.

Since the application moved by the petitioner is pending and no order has been passed therein and the main case has also been ordered to be heard on 01.05.2018, no ground is made out to interfere at this stage when the application filed by the petitioner is still pending.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, respondent No.1 is directed to hear the pending application within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

04.04.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No