

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8339 of 2018
Date of decision: 04.04.2018**

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Surinder Singh Duhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No. 1 to 4 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being finally disposed of.

The petitioner is employed as a driver with the Haryana Roadways. He was transferred from Rohtak to City Bus Services, Faridabad, pursuant to an order dated 27.9.2016 (Annexure P-1). But, vide order dated 26.5.2017 (Annexure P-2), he was re-transferred to Rohtak. The limited grievance that he has is; that, vide order dated 28.3.2018 (Annexure P-3), his transfer to Rohtak has since been cancelled, and he has been required to report at CBS, Faridabad. It is urged that the petitioner is a victim of multiple transfers, which have since been ordered in breach of the transfer policy.

CWP No. 8339 of 2018

-2-

In response, learned State counsel submits that in the matter involving similar issues, as regards transfer of the employees of the Transport Department, Haryana, this Court, vide order and judgment dated 01.09.2017, rendered in **CWP No. 17899 of 2017 (Rajender Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** (Annexure P-5) as also the judgment dated 12.12.2017, in **CWP No. 24190 of 2017 (Dilbag Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others)** (Annexure P-6), had issued comprehensive directions to the department to deal with similar issues. Thus, he submits that the grievance/claim of the petitioner shall be considered in terms thereof, and respondents shall pass appropriate orders within four weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

04.4.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO