

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8314 of 2018
Date of decision: 4.4.2018**

Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner is competing for selection to the post of PGT (English), in response to the advertisement No. 4/2015 dated 28.6.2015 (Annexure P-1), in the General Category. Written examination was conducted by the Commission on 27.3.2016 and the draft answer key was uploaded on its website on 21.5.2016. The petitioner was given Set C question paper, and as answer to question No. 29 of Set C was alleged to be wrong, the petitioner, along with certain other candidates, filed their

CWP No. 8314 of 2018

respective objections in this regard. Although, the revised answer key was uploaded by the Commission in January, 2018, and correction as regards question Nos. 62 and 4 of Set C were carried out, but the grievance of the petitioner as regards question No. 29 remained unaddressed. Though, the petitioner again filed objections, dated 1.4.2018 (Annexure P-5), but to no avail. It is urged that in the event, the interviews are held without first examining/dealing with the objections filed by the petitioner, it may entail further complications, and shall impact the interest not only of the petitioner but also those who are identically placed.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his objections, dated 1.4.2018 (Annexure P-5) shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents, within a period of two weeks from today, and in any case before the interviews are held. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

4.4.2018

AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO