

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.8277 of 2018

Date of Decision: 04.04.2018

Baljeet

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Briz Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that while working as Peon in the Office of Divisional Employment Exchange, UEB, Kurukshetra, he had made certain allegations against respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is overburdened with the work and many allegations are there against the officer, under whom, he is working. He further submits that a representation has also been made but no action has been taken thereupon. A query has been put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to how the petitioner is aggrieved by that action and how the cause of action has accrued to him to file this petition.

Simply it has been said by learned counsel that the allegations are there against respondent No.5 and hence, the present petition has been filed. No cause of action has accrued to the petitioner to file this petition as the petitioner is not aggrieved by any action of respondent No.5. Nothing has been brought to the notice of this Court as to how the allegations of embezzlement and misappropriation are there.

No ground is made out to interfere with the matter.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

04.04.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No