

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

FAO-M-314-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 1.5.2018

Meena

... Appellant

Versus

Rakesh Chaudhary

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

Present:- Ms. Neha Jain and Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocates,
for the appellant.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate, for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J.

Aggrieved by decree of divorce granted to the respondent-husband, the wife has preferred this appeal.

During pendency of the appeal, parties have entered into a compromise. The compromise has been placed on record as Ex.CX. As per the terms of said settlement, the respondent-husband has to pay a sum of Rs.25 lacs in lump sum to the appellant-wife besides transfer of flat situated in Sector 20, Panchkula in favour of younger son Karan Chaudhary and the elder son Varun Chaudhary would also get share in the property situated at Dharamshala, District Shimla. The appellant has to withdraw the appeal after compliance of all the conditions mentioned in compromise Ex.CX.

Both the parties have made a joint statement that they would

abide by the terms and conditions of Ex.CX which will form part of the appeal.

A sum of Rs.25 lacs in the shape of bank draft and a cheque of Rs.40,000/- have been handed over to the appellant-wife, present in the Court, towards the permanent alimony and arrears of maintenance upto date, respectively.

The appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with a direction that the parties will be bound by the terms of compromise Ex. CX.

In case of violation of any of the terms of settlement, it will be open to any of the parties to seek revival of the appeal.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

May 01, 2018
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No