

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8245 of 2018
Date of decision: 4.4.2018**

Sanjay Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioners pray for a direction to the respondents to transfer them at the place of their choice, as expressed at the time of counselling/selection, particularly as the other identically placed JBT Teachers have been given the same benefit. The petitioners purport to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 5.1.2018 (Annexure P-17), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 8245 of 2018

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioners as also their legal notice, dated 5.1.2018 (Annexure P-17) shall be considered and decided within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

4.4.2018

AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO