

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.8242 of 2018

Date of Decision:-18.05.2018

Piara Lal.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner before reaching the age of superannuation of 58 years on 31.03.2018, had been recommended for extension in service vide letter dated 29.12.2017 (P-9) in terms of the optional Extension Policy dated 30.10.2015 (P-8). However, with a malafide intention of denying the benefit of extension, a charge sheet Annexure P-10 was issued on 01.02.2018.

It is contended that the allegation in the chargesheet are quack connivance with Sh. Palwinder Singh, Clerk, regarding withdrawal of the salary of the other staff and deposit of the same in the account of said Palwinder Singh and, therefore, responsible for committing a Scam of Rs.22 Lacs approximately, however, upon preliminary inquiry of the said allegations FIR No.6 dated 21.01.2015 was lodged against Palwinder Singh

alone and the name of the petitioner did not even surface in the

investigation. It is the said Palwinder Singh along with officials of the Treasury Department who are facing criminal trial which is at the stage of recording of prosecution evidence.

At the time of hearing, learned State Counsel submits that the present writ petition has become infructuous since the petitioner has been reinstated in service vide office orders dated 20.04.2018 which is conceded to by learned Counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, present writ petition is hereby dismissed as infructuous.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

May 18, 2018
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>