

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.8098 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.04.2018

M/s Lakshit Construction (P) Ltd.

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Kabul Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that **CWP No.7392 of 2018** was filed by the petitioner with the same grievance as in the present petition and the said petition was disposed of vide order dated 23.03.2018 with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the letter dated 22.11.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, in case, the same direction is issued by this Court as has been issued in **CWP No.7392 of 2018**.

The relevant portion of the order passed in **CWP No.7392 of 2018** is reproduced as under :-

“ In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the letter dated 22.11.2017 (Annexure P-5) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case,

on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to the petitioner, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.”

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide letter dated 20.01.2018 (Annexure P-8) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

However, in case, the competent authority comes to the conclusion that the benefits claimed by the petitioner are admissible, the same be released to the petitioner within a period of three months thereafter. In case, the competent authority comes to the conclusion that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or not made out, a speaking order be passed by affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

02.04.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No