

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8095 of 2018
Date of decision: 2.4.2018**

Sube Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajinder Singh Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice
on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner was appointed as part-time Sweeper on
1.1.1993. Subsequently, his services were regularised w.e.f. 19.8.2014. The
limited grievance that he has is; that many persons, who were appointed
subsequently, their services were regularised from an earlier date. In
reference to the seniority list of the part-time employees, circulated on
1.1.1993 (Annexure P-1), it is urged that one Jagmati, at Sr. No. 165, was
appointed on 21.3.1994, i.e. subsequent to the petitioner, and her services
were regularised from the same date, i.e. 19.8.2014. However, subsequently,
she had filed a petition before this Court, i.e. CWP No. 14211 of 2015, and

CWP No. 8095 of 2018

-2-

the department regularised her services from 27.1.1999. Thus, it is urged that services of the petitioner too ought to have been regularised from the same date. He purports to have represented to the respondents in this regard, vide legal notice dated 8.11.2017 (Annexure P-11), but to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his legal notice, dated 8.11.2017 (Annexure P-11), shall be considered and decided within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

2.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO