

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8087 of 2018
Date of decision: 2.4.2018**

Deepak

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Pharmacist, in response to the advertisement dated 19.6.2015 (Annexure P-1), in the Outstanding Sports Person (BCA) Category. He purports to have qualified the written examination, and was even called for scrutiny of documents. Although, two posts were reserved in the category of the petitioner, but in the list of short-listed candidates (Annexure P-3), who were called for interview, only the petitioner's roll number was depicted. And, the petitioner

CWP No. 8087 of 2018

was even interviewed on 11.9.2017. The limited grievance that he has is; that in the final results pronounced by the Commission on 9.2.2018 (Annexure P-4), two other candidates, i.e. respondents No.4 and 5 (Roll Numbers: 29307009 and 29309722) have since been selected. It is urged that since only the petitioner was the eligible candidate and called for interview, there could be hardly any reason to still ignore his claim, and instead, select two other candidates, whose roll numbers were not even depicted in the list of short-listed candidates for interview.

In response, learned State counsel submits that even though the process of selection has been concluded, and even the appointments have since been made, but the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of four weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed after affording due opportunity of hearing to all the stakeholders, if necessary.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

2.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO