

CWP No.8075 of 2018
DECIDED ON: APRIL 02, 2018

KESHAV RAM ETC

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA ETC

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Jangvir Singh Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari/Mandamus quashing the action of respondents, whereby the claim of the petitioners for refixation of their pay and pensionary benefits in terms of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 08.12.2015 rendered in Civil Appeal No.8661 of 2009 and 8703 of 2009 titled as *State of Haryana & ors. vs. R.K. Gupta & ors.* (P-8) implemented by the State of Haryana vide Circular Instructions dated 15.03.2017 (P-9) has been declined on the ground that the present petitioners were not party to the said judgment AND further directing the respondents to grant them benefit of adhoc relief as well.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that legal notice dated November 14, 2017 (P-11) was served upon the respondents but till date neither

any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents. Further, they feel satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.3 to 5 to decide the aforesaid legal notice dated November 14, 2017 (P-11) in a time bound manner.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3 to 5 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the aforesaid legal notice dated November 14, 2017 (P-11) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules, regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time as well as in the light of the judgments passed in CWP No.16084 of 1997, captioned as *Dharam Pal Singh vs. State of Haryana & ors.*, decided on July 27, 2000 (P-7) and *R.K. Gupta's case (Supra)*, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, non compliance of this order, petitioners shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

APRIL 02, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>