

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8063 of 2018
Date of decision: 2.4.2018**

Manoj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Manoj Chahal Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondent are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Agriculture Inspector (Soil Conservation), in response to the advertisement dated 2.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), in the General Category. He purports to have qualified the written examination, and was even called for scrutiny of documents. However, in the list of short-listed candidates, who have been called for interview, his name and roll number is not depicted. The limited grievance that he has is; that the last candidate, who has been called for interview, in his category, had obtained 160 marks. Whereas, as per the self

CWP No. 8063 of 2018

assessed OMR sheet of the petitioner, upon comparing it with the answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, he purports to have secured 168 marks. But, still for some intangible reason, his candidature has been ignored. It is urged that the interview is scheduled to take place on 4.4.2018, and in the event, the petitioner is not permitted to participate in the interview, he shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by 3.4.2018 itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner as also to his counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found to be eligible/suitable in all respects, and, rather ought to have been called for interview, intimation in this regard shall be sent to him in time, so as to enable him to participate in the interview.

2.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO