

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8032 of 2018
Date of decision: 2.4.2018**

Bimla Devi

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Shranav Katyal Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent. Copy supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondent are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Agriculture Inspector (Soil Conservation), in response to the advertisement dated 2.12.2016 (Annexure P-1), in the BC-A Category. She purports to have qualified the written examination, and was even called for scrutiny of documents. However, in the list of short-listed candidates, who have been called for interview, her name and roll number is not depicted. The limited grievance that she has is; that the last candidate, who has been called for interview, in her category, is shown to have obtained 154 marks. Whereas, as per the self assessed OMR sheet of the petitioner, upon comparing it with the

CWP No. 8032 of 2018

answer key uploaded by the Commission on its website, her score shall not be less than 174 marks. But, still for some intangible reason, her candidature has since been ignored. The petitioner purports to have even represented to the respondents in this regard, vide representation dated 22.3.2018 (Annexure P-5), but to no avail. It is urged that as the interview is scheduled to take place on 4.4.2018, and in the event, the petitioner is not permitted to participate, she shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also her representation, dated 22.3.2018 (Annexure P-5), shall be considered and decided by 3.4.2018 itself. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner and also to her counsel.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof. On the contrary, if the petitioner is found to be eligible/suitable, and, rather ought to have been called for interview, intimation in this regard shall be sent to her in time, to enable her to participate in the interview.

2.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO