

129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7981-2018

Date of decision-02.04.2018

Rajbinder Singh and another

Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the son of petitioner No.2 as Constable in the Police Department by treating it a special case.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the representation dated 22.02.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the

competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible to them or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

02.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No