

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7980 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.04.2018

Balvinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.P.K.Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

1. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have perused the paper-book.

2. The short-listing of candidates is meant for managing selection depending on the number of candidates. The volume of applications cannot be foretold or anticipated, and therefore, short-listing method can only be adopted for bringing names to stage of interview. The petitioner approached this Court complaining that the process of short-listing the candidates has not been adopted for Shorthand and Transcription Test for the various posts of Stenographers advertised for filling up by direct recruitment. Written examination has been conducted. This Court fails to understand as to how the petitioner would be prejudiced if the cut off marks in the written examination has not been published beforehand which has resulted in calling all the candidates who had applied, to face the Shorthand and Transcription Test at the speed desired in the advertisement. Counsel for the petitioner refers to 'Special Instruction' below Note 7 in the advertisement under the heading "Procedure/Instructions for Online Filling Application Form". It is for the respondent-Commission to manage the selection process and if the field of

Stenographers are selected from amongst the applicants. No interference is warranted on the grounds taken in the writ petition which is accordingly dismissed and writ prayed for, refused.

2.4.2018
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No