

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7957 of 2018
Date of decision: 02.04.2018

Surender Sabharwal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver under Ex-Serviceman (SC) Category, in response to an advertisement dated No.4/2017. He purports to have qualified the written examination, but failed to pass the driving proficiency test. Resultantly, he was not even called for scrutiny of documents. The limited grievance that he has is; that in the list of short-listed candidates (Annexure P5), called for interview, though 41 posts were reserved in his Category, but none of the

candidate was found to be eligible. Whereas, the final results (Annexure P6), pronounced by the Commission, reveal that against all the 41 posts in the ESM (SC) Category, the candidates have since been selected.

In response, learned State counsel submits that even though the petitioner would have no locus to question the selection, for, he had failed to qualify the driving proficiency test, but nevertheless the grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of six weeks from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms.

02.04.2018
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO