

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7950 of 2018
Date of decision: 17.4.2018

Subhash Chander

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contentends, that the limited grievance that the petitioner has is; that during the pendency of the enquiry and even thereafter, he has not been granted subsistence allowance, as admissible under the rules, despite the order dated 28.5.2013 (Annexure P-29), passed by the Director General, Higher Education Haryana (respondent No.3), So much so, the representation, dated 4.10.2017 (Annexure P-34), the respondents are alleged to have been served with, has also failed to evoke any response.

That being so, and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also his representation, dated 4.10.2017 (Annexure P-34), within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

17.4.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO