

124

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7945-2018

Date of decision-02.04.2018

Rani Kaur

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. A.K.Khunger, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to make necessary correction regarding date of birth of the petitioner in her service book from 05.07.1958 to 04.01.1972 and to decide the representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-2) submitted by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to consider and decide the representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3-

District Education Officer (Secondary Education), Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to consider and decide the representation dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

02.04.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No