

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 04.05.2018****CWP No.7859 of 2018 (O&M)**

Babita Devi

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINAPresent: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)****CM No.6489-CWP of 2018**

Application is allowed. Amended Memo of Parties is taken on record.

CWP No.7859 of 2018

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, no ground for interference in the selection process for the post of Panchkarma Therapist merely on the ground that respondents No.6 & 7, namely, Parveen Kumar and Sunita have a common address at Ambala. I also see no reason why interference is called in the appointment of a person belonging to Kerala i.e. respondent No.8. No malafides has been alleged against anyone in making the selection. The petitioner having participated in the selection process

should normally not be permitted to turn around to challenge the selection on the basis of disappointment and that too on insufficient grounds.

This petition is devoid of merit and is dismissed.

04.05.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No