
Present : Mr. Arjun Pratap Atma Ram, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Ambika Bedi, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.3.

Mr. Kapil Khanna, Advocate,
for Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate,
for NCTE.

In the instant petition, there are only three respondents and vide order dated 29.04.2014, some information was sought from the National Council for Technical Education (NCTE) for proper adjudication of the matter. Though, assistance was provided through learned counsel on behalf of the NCTE on different dates, but it is clarified that NCTE was never impleaded as party in the instant case and as such, there is no respondent No.4 in the matter. It is further clarified that in order dated 07.01.2016, it has been wrongly reflected that “Mr. Nagpal has filed a short reply of District Education Officer (Elementary Education) Amritsar, on behalf of respondent No.4”. Instead, the short reply was filed on behalf of respondent No.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to the above clarification, the Registry has so far no released the judgment dated 18.07.2018, passed in the petition.

In view of the clarification, the prayer does not subsist.

Disposed of.

07.12.2018

atulsethi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE