

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7583 of 2018
Date of decision: 27.03.2018

Dinesh Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Girish Agnihotri, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Saraj Malakar, Advocate for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. RK Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

For the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statements/counter affidavits on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioners have questioned the advertisement Nos.9 & 10, dated 09.08.2017 & 10.08.2017, Annexures P2 & P3, respectively, to an extent the posts of Instructors/Craft Instructors have since been advertised, in the department of Industrial Training Haryana in various trades, prescribing the qualifications that are contrary to the Haryana Industrial Training Department Field Offices (Group C) Service Rules, 2013. Thus, the petitioners purport to have even repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even, the representation dated 16.08.2017

(Annexure P11 Colly.), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response. It is urged that as the process of selection has since been initiated, and in the absence of any consideration upon the representations, indicated above, by the respondent-authorities, in the event the selections are finalized, the petitioners shall suffer an incalculable loss.

In response, learned State counsel submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioners, as also their representation dated 16.08.2017 (Annexure P11 Colly.), shall be considered and dealt with by the respondents within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms.

However, in the event the claim of the petitioners is declined, the respondent-authorities shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

27.03.2018

Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:

YES

Whether Reportable:

NO