

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-7487-2018

Date of decision-26.03.2018

Charanjit Kaur

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.2 to regularize the services of the petitioner in terms of the policy instructions dated 18.01.1995 (Annexure P-3) issued by the Government of Punjab, with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Punjab, to consider and decide the legal notice dated 23.10.2017 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Punjab, to consider and decide legal notice dated 23.10.2017 (Annexure P-9) within six

weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

26.03.2018
atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No