

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.7460 of 2018

Date of Decision: 26.03.2018

Ranju

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that she purchased Plot No.30-B situated in Dukhbhanjan Colony measuring 3 Marlas, 3/1194 share of land 59 Kanal-14 Marla comprising in Khewat No.2249, Khatoni No.2569, Murba No.222, Killa No.74/3 (59-14) Darra Khan, Thanesar through registered sale deed. The petitioner is owner in possession of the plot as the mutation has also been sanctioned in her favour. The petitioner wanted to construct a residential house and therefore she applied for sanctioning of the Building Site Plan to be approved from the Municipal Committee as per provisions of the Haryana Municipal Act, 1973 but the same has not been sanctioned. The petitioner, thereafter, served a legal notice on 11.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) but no action has been taken thereupon so far. Neither the sanction has been granted nor any action has been taken on the legal notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner

would be satisfied, in case, the directions are issued to respondents No.3 and 4 within some stipulated period.

Without commenting anything on the merits of the case and keeping in view the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to take action on the legal notice dated 11.12.2017 (Annexure P-4) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. However, in case, the presence of the petitioner is required, the opportunity be given to her.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

26.03.2018

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No