

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7459 of 2018

Date of Decision : 26.03.2018

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.3. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Assistant Professor (History) College Cadre under the physically handicapped category (Ortho), in response to the advertisement dated 16.02.2016 (Annexure P-1). He cleared the written examination, and rather claims to

have obtained the highest marks in his category. But was not called for interview, that was held on 14.12.2017, for, despite being appointed as Gram Sachiv, in the office of BDPO, Sonapat, he failed to furnish the no objection certificate from his current employer. It is urged, that the requisite certificate has since been obtained by the petitioner on the very next date of the interview i.e. 15.12.2017, and where-after, he even repeatedly represented to the respondents to consider his claim. But to no avail. Even the representations dated 19.12.2017 (Annexure P-12 and 13), have failed to evoke any response. It is submitted, though the process of selection has since been concluded but no appointments have still been made, whereas the representations of the petitioner continues to await consideration by the respondents-authority.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3, to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his representations dated 19.12.2017 (Annexure P-12 and 13), within a period of four weeks from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

26.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No