

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 7400 of 2018

Date of decision : 16.05.2018

Sukhwinder Singh @ Sukha

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab & ors.

...Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ambika Sood, DAG Punjab.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Learned State counsel has referred to para 9 of the affidavit dated 15.01.2018. Same reads as under:-

“9. That in reply to the content of this Para, it is respectfully submitted that it is specifically mentioned in the recommendation report of District Magistrate Amritsar that the house of petitioner is in good condition whereas it is mentioned in the Panchayatnama that the House of petitioner requires repair thus it is concluded in the recommendation report of the District Magistrate Amritsar that the contents mentioned in the Panchayatnama are not correct.”

In view of above, no further direction is necessary. Petition is hereby dismissed.

In case petitioner prefers a fresh application on other grounds as per Rules, it shall be considered by the authority expeditiously.

May 16, 2018

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No