

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.296

Civil Writ Petition No.7359 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 17, 2018

HARJINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

PEPSU ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION, NABHA ROAD,
PATIALA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate with
Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Nikita Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant interest on the delayed payment of pension in view of law laid down in CWP No.15306 of 2016 captioned as ***Hari Ram & ors. vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation & anr.***, decided on 04.09.2017 (P-2), wherein directions were issued to release pensionary benefits along with interest @ 9% as well as in the judgment rendered by Ld. Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) Bathinda in File No.647 of 03.12.2011, titled as ***Jarnail Singh vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala & anr.*** decided on 28.02.2014 (P-3),

Civil Writ Petition No.7359 of 2018

wherein interest was granted @ 12% per annum on the delayed release of commutation value of pension, which stands implemented by the Corporation.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the parties fairly conceded that the matter in controversy is squarely covered by the judgment in case CWP No.7386 of 2016, captioned as ***Harmeet Kaur vs. Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Nabha Road, Patiala*** decided on 23.04.2018 passed by this Court.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is allowed in terms of ***Harmeet Kaur's case (supra)***.

May 17, 2018
Ankur

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No