

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7280 of 2018

Date of Decision : 22.03.2018

Arvind

.....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Public Service Commission and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana, for respondents No2 and 3. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Assistant Engineer (Mechanical) in the S.C. Category, in response to the advertisement dated 8.2.2016 (Annexure P-1). He qualified the written examination and was even called for interview by the Commission on 5.3.2018. In the final results pronounced by the Commission on 9.3.2018 (Annexure P-7), the petitioner was declared successful, for, his roll number i.e. 1520, is duly depicted in the S.C. Category. Subsequently, in response

to the notice (Annexure P-8), the petitioner even appeared before the designated committee on 14.03.2018, for verification of documents. However, on 15.03.2018 (Annexure P-9), the commission published the revised results, because of which a candidate with roll number i.e. 3996, has since been selected in place of the petitioner. It is urged, that ostensibly the reasons assigned by the respondents for revision of the results is; that while compiling the results, names of certain candidates who were lower in merit were wrongly recommended. But, nothing is communicated/clarified as to the marks obtained by the candidate (roll number 3996), who has now been declared selected in comparison to the petitioner.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with within a period of two weeks from today. And, appropriate orders assigning detailed reasons, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms.

22.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No