

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7275 of 2018**

**Date of Decision : 22.03.2018**

**Parveen Kumar**

**.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Heavy Vehicle Driver, in response to the advertisement dated 18.05.2017 (Annexure P-1), in the Outstanding Sports Person (general category). He appeared in the written examination, but was never called for interview that was held w.e.f. 25.02.2018 to 1.3.2018. The limited grievance that he has is: though as per the final results pronounced by the Commission on 3.3.2018 (Annexure P-13), the last candidate who has been selected, in the category of the petitioner, has obtained 78 marks in the written test as also

the interview, whereas, as per the self assessed OMR sheet and the answerkey uploaded by the Commission on its website, the petitioner had secured 120 marks in the written test itself. Thus, it is urged, that for some intangible reasons the claim of the petitioner has since been ignored. However, the appointments have not yet been made.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with within a period of two weeks from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms. However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

22.03.2018

*Manoj Bhutani*

**( ARUN PALLI )**  
**JUDGE**

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |