

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7168-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-22.03.2018.

Balbir Singh Pehal

.....Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has sought for the following relief:-

i) a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus and any other writ petition for giving the direction to the respondents especially respondent No.1 to decide the appeal filed by the present petitioner against the order dated 9.11.2017 on the date fixed as well as giving the direction to the respondent No.3 Deputy Commissioner to take action against the respondent No.5 as he has been found embezzled the panchayat funds to the tune of Rs.42,24,348/- and issuance of

ii) a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents especially respondent No.3 to take action against the respondent no.5 in view of the enquiries conducted by the Addl. Chief Secretary, Govt.

of Haryana, Development and Panchayat Department, Chandigarh dated 18.5.2017 with immediate effect as more than 1-1/2 months have already been passed and pass a fresh order in view of the order dated 18.05.2017.”

In the absence of necessary application or representation before the competent authority, petitioner cannot seek writ of *mandamus* in the guise of Annexure P-8. Therefore, the present petition is pre-mature for want of not approaching the competent authority.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach appropriate authority seeking necessary relief.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 22, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.