

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7073 of 2018
Date of decision: 22.3.2018

Amrita Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak, and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has questioned the appointment of respondent No.2 as Dean, Faculty of Social Sciences, pursuant to an order dated 8.1.2018 (Annexure P-7), who has concededly since joined. The limited grievance that she has is; that claim of the petitioner too ought to have been considered by respondent No.1, while considering respondent No.2. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to respondent No.1 in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 18.2.2018 (Annexure P-11), respondent No.1 has been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as also her legal notice, dated 18.2.2018 (Annexure P-11), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

22.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO