

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(107)

CWP-7059-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: December 03, 2018

Neeraj Bansal

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

CM-17705-CWP-2018

Present application has been filed to place on record copy of order dated 11.06.2012 and grounds of appeal dated 23.06.2012 as Annexures A-1 and A-2.

The application is allowed.

Annexures A-1 and A-2 are permitted to be taken on record.

CWP-7059-2018

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner states that whether the petitioner is entitled for the pensionary benefits is a debatable point but the children born out of the wedlock, are entitled for. In the present case, no child born out of the wedlock between Neeraj Bansal and Ramesh Kumar Bansal, is party.

In view of this, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be allowed to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file fresh one.

Dismissed as withdraw with liberty as prayed for.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

December 03, 2018

JUDGE

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No