

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

Civil Writ Petition No.7018 of 2018
DECIDED ON: March 21, 2018

GIANO DEVI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTEHRS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Harish Nain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant 50% of compassionate financial assistance to him, as the same has been granted to respondent No.4 in view of Rule 5 of Haryana Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rule, 2006 as son of the petitioner was died on 05.09.2011 while in service.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that though, petitioner made a legal notice dated October 25, 2017 (P-4) to the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken on the same.

Civil Writ Petition No.7018 of 2018

He further submit that she feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the afore-said legal notice (P-4) within some specified period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director General, Health Services, Haryana, Sector 6, Panchkula to consider the various grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated October 25, 2017 (P-4) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and regulations as well as judgment rendered by this Court in case *Hukmi Devi vs. State of Haryana, 2003(3) SCT 102*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case of passing an adverse order or rejection of this claim putforth by the petitioner, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

March 21, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

Yes/No