

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 7016 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.3.2018

Rachna Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Aman Mittal, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Contends, that the matter in issue is squarely covered by an order and judgment dated 15.05.2013, rendered by this Court in **CWP No.11254 of 2010 (Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others)**, [Annexure P-3], therefore, the present petition too is required to be disposed of in the same terms, and the petitioner be also awarded the same relief.

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only, at this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accepts notice on their behalf. Copies furnished.

The factual position, as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel, who rather fairly submits that the petition is required to be disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court, referred to above.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, in terms of the decision of this Court in **Neelam Rani (supra)**.

21.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO