

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 7014 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.3.2018**

Prem Pal and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Deepak Sonak, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioners pray for a direction to the respondents to consider their claim for regularisation of their services, pursuant to the State Policy of the years 1996 and 2003, for the persons who were identically placed, and even those who were junior to the petitioners, have since been regularised. The petitioners purport to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 13.12.2017 (Annexure P-10), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 7014 of 2018

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that claim/grievance of the petitioners as also their legal notice, dated 13.12.2017 (Annexure P-10) shall be considered and decided within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioners is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO