

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6987 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.3.2018**

Lajwanti

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.K. Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was employed as a part-time Sweeper with the respondent-department w.e.f. 1.3.1990. Her services were regularised, pursuant to an order dated 8.8.2014 with effect from the date of the order itself. The limited grievance that she has is; that the person namely Shishpal, who was appointed subsequent to the petitioner, i.e. on 1.1.1991, has since been regularised, w.e.f. 1.3.1999. It is urged that services of the petitioner too ought to have been regularised from the same date, particularly, when she was shown senior, being at No. 68, to Shishpal, who was assigned No. 95 in the seniority list. The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice, dated 19.1.2018 (Annexure P-4), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

CWP No. 6987 of 2018

-2-

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim/grievance of the petitioner, as also her legal notice, dated 19.1.2018 (Annexure P-4), within a period of three months from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order, assigning reasons in support thereof.

21.3.2018
AK Sharma

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO