

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6982 of 2018

Date of Decision : 20.03.2018

Kapil Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana, present in Court, accept notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3. Copies furnished.

In the nature of order I propose to pass in the matter, no formal written statement(s)/counter affidavit(s), on behalf of the respondents, is indeed necessary, at this stage. Thus, with the consent of learned counsel for the parties the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Assistant Engineer (Mechanical) in the BCA category, for which only one post was reserved. He purports to have qualified the written examination and was even called for interview on 5.3.2018. The Commission pronounced the final results on 9.3.2018 (Annexure P-13), and the petitioner was declared successful. Subsequently, vide notice (Annexure P-14), the Department of Irrigation & Water Resources, Haryana, required all the selected candidates

to appear before the Committee constituted to verify their documents/testimonials on 14.03.2018, before issuance of formal letters of appointment. The petitioner appeared before the Committee on the appointed date, and was even issued the attestation form that was required to be filled by him. The limited grievance that he has is; that on the following day i.e. 15.03.2018, the Commission revised the results because of which another candidate, who was assigned a roll number 2901, was declared selected in place of the petitioner. It is urged, that ostensibly the Commission purports to have rectified the error that had crept in while compiling the results and because of which names of certain candidates, who were lower in merits were recommended, however, nothing is clarified as regards the marks secured by the petitioner and the candidate, who in terms of the revised results, has since been selected.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be examined. And, appropriate orders, assigning detailed reasons, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner within a week from today.

The petition is accordingly, disposed of, in the above terms.

20.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No