

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.6955 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: October 29, 2018

Ghanshyam and another ...Petitioners
Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sumit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioners seek premature release on the ground that they have completed their term as per the policy. Admittedly, in case of petitioner No.1, no order has been passed by the State Level Committee, while case of petitioner No.2 has been deferred for two years, vide speaking order (Annexure P-3).

At the outset, Learned State counsel submits that present petition shall be treated as representation of the petitioners. In case no order has been passed in case of petitioner No.1, his plea shall be considered and a speaking order shall be passed within six weeks. As regards petitioner No.2, the matter shall be reconsidered by the said committee and a fresh order shall be passed.

In view of statement made by the State counsel, no further direction is necessary. The petition is disposed of.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

October 29, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No