

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6942 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.3.2018**

Jagdish

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner was appointed as Chowkidar on 1.1.1988. However, his services were brought to an end on 30.3.2003. But, the Labour Court, vide award dated 12.1.2015, ordered his reinstatement with continuity of service and 50% back wages. The said award has since attained finality, for the petition filed by the respondents against the said award was dismissed by this Court. As a result, the petitioner was taken back on duty, and by now, he has rendered approximately 30 years of service. Vide this

CWP No. 6942 of 2018

petition, he claims regularisation of his services. He purports to have even served the respondents with a legal notice dated 22.1.2018 (Annexure P-3).

But to no avail.

To this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his legal notice, dated 22.1.2018 (Annexure P-3), shall be considered and decided within a period of three months from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES
NO