

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6923 of 2018
Date of decision: 20.3.2018**

Mahipal

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion, confined to respondents No.1 and 2 only, at
this stage.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice
on their behalf. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Pharmacist,
in response to the advertisement No. 1/2015 (Annexure P-1), in the
BCB/Dependent of Freedom Fighter Category. He purports to have
qualified the written examination, whereafter, he was called for scrutiny of
documents as also for interview. The limited grievance that he has is: that
in the final results pronounced by the Commission on 9.2.2018 (Annexure
P-9), the last candidate, who has been selected in the petitioner's category,

CWP No. 6923 of 2018

is shown to have obtained 79 marks (cut-off), whereas, the petitioner, who has secured 82 marks, is placed at No.1 in the waiting list.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner shall be examined, and appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within a period of two weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

20.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO