

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.6903 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: October 29, 2018

Jagir Singh @ Johny ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Bindu Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has prayed for grant of parole for house repair. He has referred to the photographs to show that the house is in dilapidated condition. No one is there to carry out the repairs as petitioner has been in custody for a considerable period. According to learned counsel, State has placed reliance on statement made by his father, with whom he is not on good terms.

Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer.

However, in view of the contentions raised before this court, it feels that case of the petitioner needs to be reconsidered. The impugned order is, thus, set-aside. The matter is remitted to the same authority for decision afresh by passing a speaking order within two weeks from today.

Allowed in these terms.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

October 29, 2018
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No