

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6876 of 2018

Date of Decision : 19.03.2018

Ram Murti Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T.Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Munish Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was appointed as Music/T.V. Operator by Chandigarh Industrial and Tourism Development Corporation Ltd. (CITCO), on regular basis, as back as on 19.04.1989. He has served for 29 years and has an outstanding record. The limited grievance that he has is; that he has not been considered for promotion or any other monetary benefit ever since his appointment. Whereas one Parmod Kumar, who possessed the same qualification, and was appointed as Electrician has since been promoted to the post of Assistant Engineer (Electrical). The petitioner purports to have repeatedly represented to the respondents in this regard. But to no avail. Even the legal notice dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-5), the respondents have been served with, has failed to evoke any response.

That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the claim of the

petitioner, as also legal notice dated 5.3.2018 (Annexure P-5), within a period of three months, from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And, pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. However, in the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondents-authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

19.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No