

115

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-6853-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision-27.03.2018

Tarsem Singh

...Applicant-Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. JPS Sidhu, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

CM-4486-CWP-2018

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Annexure P-20 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CWP-6853-2018

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner on government job alongwith all consequential benefits on the basis of 5th pass qualification against ancestral land acquired as per policy dated 08.11.2011 and guidelines dated 03.03.2014 (Annexure P-1 and P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, District Mansa to consider and decide the representation dated 09.05.2017 (Annexure P-19).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, District Mansa to consider and decide the representation dated 09.05.2017 (Annexure P-19) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

27.03.2018
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No