

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 6748 of 2018
Date of decision: 19.3.2018**

Nitin Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.K. Doon, AAG., Haryana, present in court, accepts notice
on behalf of the respondents. Copies supplied.

In the nature of order I propose to pass, no formal written
statement(s)/counter-affidavit(s) on behalf of the respondents are indeed
necessary at this stage. Thus, with the consent of the learned counsel for the
parties, the petition is being disposed of finally.

The petitioner competed for selection to the post of Male
Constable (General Duty), in response to the advertisement No. 8/2015,
dated 19.7.2015 (Annexure P-1), in the BC-B Category. He purports to have
qualified the written examination, whereafter, he was called for scrutiny of
documents as also for interview. However, as per the final results
pronounced by the Commission on 23.6.2017 (Annexure P-5), he remained

CWP No. 6748 of 2018

unsuccessful. The limited grievance that he has is: the last candidate, who has been selected in his category, had obtained 61.90 marks (cut-off), and the petitioner too, as reflected in Annexure P-4 (at page 30), had secured 61.90 marks. But, yet for some intangible reason, claim of the petitioner was ignored. It is urged that the information sought by the petitioner under the Right to Information Act, reveals that two posts, in the category of the petitioner, are still unfilled. And, he even alleged to have served the respondents with a legal notice, dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-9). But to no avail.

In response, learned State counsel submits that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his legal notice, dated 31.10.2017 (Annexure P-9), shall be considered and decided within a period of two weeks from today. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in the above terms. In the event, the claim of the petitioner is declined, the authority shall pass a comprehensive order assigning reasons in support thereof.

19.3.2018
Ak Sharma

(Arun Palli)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES
Whether Reportable:	NO